

1
2
3
4

5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
6 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

7
8 HECTOR SARINANA, No. C-13-0905 EMC

9 Plaintiff,
10 v.

11 DS WATERS OF AMERICA, INC.,
12 Defendant.
13 /

Related Case:
No. C-14-2996 EMC

14 ARJAY HALEY, etc.,
15 Plaintiff,
16 v.
17 DS WATERS OF AMERICA, INC., et al.,
18 Defendants.
19 /

**ORDER MODIFYING SEPTEMBER 5,
2014 TEMPORARY RESTRAINING
ORDER**

20 On September 5, 2014, this Court issued a temporary restraining order ordering members of
21 The Turley Law Firm to refrain from initiating or having discussions with members of the
22 conditional settlement class in this action. In response, Mr. David Mara of the The Turley Law Firm
23 contacted the Court and indicated that there were three individuals – Parker Davis (named plaintiff
24 in *Davis v. DS Waters of America, Inc.*, No. 14-cv-0250), Terry Dupree, and Richard Schleif – who
25 had initiated contact with and eventually retained The Turley Law Firm prior to the commencement
26 solicitation efforts by The Turley Law Firm. Following a conference call with the parties and Mr.
27 Mara, Mr. Mara was directed to submit a sworn declaration and to fax the relevant communications
28 with Mr. Dupree and Mr. Schleif to the Court for *in camera* review.

1 Based on the Court’s review of the *in camera* documents, and in reliance of the sworn
2 representations by Mr. Mara in his declaration, the Court finds that The Turley Law Firm established
3 an attorney-client relationship with Mr. Dupree and Mr. Schleif prior to the solicitation efforts which
4 gave rise to the Court’s temporary restraining order. The Class Notice expressly recognized that the
5 class members could retain their own attorney – specifically in regards to objecting to the settlement.
6 *See* Dkt. No. 80, at 37 (“You may object to the proposed settlement in writing. You may also appear
7 at the Final Approval Hearing, either in person or through your own attorney. If you appear through
8 your own attorney you are responsible for paying that attorney.”). Further, Federal Rule of Civil
9 Procedure 23(c)(2)(B)(iv) recognizes that a “class member may enter an appearance through an
10 attorney if the member so desires.”

11 The Court will not restrict The Turley Law Firm's ability to communicate with clients it
12 retained prior to the conduct in question. Accordingly, this Court's temporary restraining order is
13 hereby **MODIFIED** to permit The Turley Law Firm to communicate with Mr. Davis, Mr. Dupree,
14 and Mr. Schleif. The propriety of the remainder of the temporary restraining order will be addressed
15 by the Court at the hearing scheduled for Wednesday, September 10, 2014.

17 || IT IS SO ORDERED

19 || Dated: September 5, 2014


EDWARD M. CHEN
United States District Judge